

1. The Petitioner alleges contempt of an order dated 19th March, 1999 passed by the Division Bench of this Court by which the parties were directed to maintain status-quo. The B.M.C. was also directed to ensure that no rights are created in the disputed property and possession is not handed over to the hawkers.

2. The photographs show that the garden constructed by the Petitioner had already been removed by 19th March, 1999 i.e. the date of the order. Further pursuant to the notices issued in January, 1999 to the hawkers to shift from another location to the present location, 21 hawkers had already shifted to the site on 17th March, 1999. The photographs would thus indicate that such persons were carrying on work even after the order. One hawker was shifted to the site in the morning of 19th March, 1999.

3. In the circumstances, no action for contempt is called for.

4. The Contempt Petition is dismissed.